```
#include <iostream>  // std::cout

template <int N>
const int fib = fib<N - 1> + fib<N - 2>;  // OK, compile-time const

template <> const int fib<2> = 1;         // OK, compile-time const
template <> const int fib<1> = 1;         // OK, compile-time const

int main()
{
    std::cout << fib<4> << '\n';  // guaranteed to print out 3
    std::cout << fib<5> << '\n';  // guaranteed to print out 5
    std::cout << fib<6> << '\n';  // guaranteed to print out 8

    return 0;
}
```

Note that replacing each of the three **const** keywords with **constexpr** in the example above also achieves the desired goal, does not consume memory in the **static data space**, and would also be applicable to nonintegral constants.

## Annoyances

### Variable templates do not support template template parameters

Although a class or function template can accept a **template template parameter**, no equivalent construct is available for variable templates[3]:

```
template <typename T> T vt(5);

template <template <typename> class>
struct S { };

S<vt> s1;  // Error
```

Providing a wrapper **struct** around a variable template might therefore be necessary in case the variable template needs to be passed to an interface accepting a template template parameter:

```
template <typename T>
struct Vt { static constexpr T value = vt<T>; };

S<Vt> s2;  // OK
```

[3] A method to increase consistency between variable templates and class templates when used as template template parameters has been proposed for C++23; see **pusz20a**.