Section 2.1 C++11

Inheriting Ctors

tains data, constructors, and pure virtual functions.² Such inheritance, known as **imple-mentation inheritance**, is decidedly distinct from pure **interface inheritance**, which is often the preferred design pattern in practice.³ As an example, consider a **base** class, **NetworkDataStream**, that allows overriding its virtual functions for processing a stream of data from an expanding variety of arbitrary sources over the network:

The NetworkDataStream class above provides three constructors, with more under development, that can be used assuming no per-packet processing is required. Now, imagine the need for logging information about received packets (e.g., for auditing purposes). Inheriting constructors make deriving from NetworkDataStream and overriding (see Section 1.1. "override" on page 104) onPacketReceived(DataPacket&) more convenient because we don't need to reimplement each of the constructors, which are anticipated to increase in number over time:

```
class LoggedNetworkDataStream : public NetworkDataStream
{
    public:
        using NetworkDataStream::NetworkDataStream;
        void onPacketReceived(DataPacket& dataPacket) override
        {
            LOG_TRACE << "Received packet " << dataPacket; // local log facility
            NetworkDataStream::onPacketReceived(dataPacket); // Delegate to base.
        }
};</pre>
```

Implementing a strong typedef

Classic **typedef** declarations — just like C++11 **using** declarations (see Section 1.1."**using** Aliases" on page 133) — are just synonyms; they offer absolutely no additional type safety

 $^{^{2}}$ A discussion of this topic is planned for **lakos2a**, section 4.7.

 $^{^{3}}$ A discussion of this topic is planned for **lakos2b**, section 4.6.